

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,626	11/13/2003	Peter A. Benson	108298743US	2439
25096 PERKING COL	7590 11/29/2007	EXAM	EXAMINER	
PERKINS COIE LLP PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 1247 SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			IM, JUNGHWA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA	4 98111-1247	•	2811	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	3				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/713,626	BENSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Junghwa M. Im	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value for the play within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 O</u>	<u>ctober 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,9-17,19-24 and 60-65</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>5,9-17,19-24 and 60-65</u> is/are reject	ed.					
· <u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
*						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 9, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-17, 19-24 and 60-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurashima et al. (US 6608371), hereinafter Kurashima in view of Cloud et al. (US 6525413), hereinafter Cloud and Tonti et al. (US 6114221), hereinafter Tonti

Regarding claims 9, 21 and 60, Fig. 4A of Kurashima shows a microfeature workpiece, comprising:

a plurality of first dies [13; Fig. 7 and col. 15, lines 30-34], wherein individual first dies have a first integrated circuit and a bond pad site electrically coupled to the integrated circuit; and

Art Unit: 2811

a plurality of first conductive mating structures [24], the first conductive mating structures projecting away from the dies and having openings to receive and interconnect with corresponding complementary second conductive mating structures [32] on second dies [11; Fig. 7 and col. 15, lines 30-34] which are to be mounted to corresponding first dies.

Fig. 4A of Kurashima shows most aspects of the instant invention except a plurality of bond pads electrically coupled to the first integrated circuit and the mating structures proximate to the bond pads. Fig. 3 of Cloud shows a stacked semiconductor device [10, 20] wherein a plurality of bond pads [14, 16] electrically coupled to the integrated circuit and the mating structures proximate to the pads.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Cloud into the device of Kurashima in order to have a plurality of bond pads electrically coupled to the first integrated circuit to carry the signals to the mounting board.

The combination of Kurashima/Cloud shows most aspect of the instant invention except that the mating structure having openings projection away form a surface of the die to receive the complementary mating structure and a conductive link in direct contact with the mating structures. Fig. 18 of Tonti shows the mating structure having openings projection away form a surface of the die to receive the complementary mating structure. (see the die in the middle.) And Fig. 15 of Tonti shows a conductive link [18, 28] in direct contact with the mating structures.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Tonti into the device of Kurashima/Cloud in order to

Art Unit: 2811

have the mating structure having openings projection away form a surface of the die to receive the complementary mating structure, therefore, having the first conductive mating structures having openings projecting away from a surface of the first dies and configured to receive and interconnect with corresponding complementary second conductive mating structures on second dies which are to be mounted, and in order to have a conductive link in direct contact with the mating structures for compact structure.

Regarding claims 10 and 22, Fig. 3 of Cloud shows that the first conductive mating structures have generally circular configurations.

Regarding claim 11, Fig. 4A of Kurashima shows that the first conductive mating structures have generally triangular configurations.

Regarding claim 12, the combined teachings of Kurashima and Cloud fail to teach that "the first conductive mating structures have generally rectangular configurations." However, it would have been obvious matter of accommodating desired specification since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Regarding claims 13 and 23, Fig. 4A of Kurashima shows that the first conductive mating structures include an aperture configured to receive at least a portion of one of the second conductive mating structures.

Regarding claims 14 and 24, Fig. 4A of Kurashima shows that the first conductive mating structures have male configurations.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 15, Fig. 4A of Kurashima shows that the first conductive mating structures have female configurations.

Regarding claim 16, Fig. 3 of Cloud shows that the first conductive mating structures comprise solder (col. 6, lines 61-63).

Regarding claim 17, Fig. 3 of Cloud shows that the first dies include a first side and a second side opposite the first side; the first pads comprise a plurality of bond-pads on and/or in the first side of the first dies; and the first conductive mating structures are coupled to the bond-pads on the first side of the first dies (col. 6, lines 38-44).

Regarding claim 19, Fig. 7 of Kurashima shows the first dies include a third die, and it would have been obvious that the combined teachings of Kurashima and Cloud show the third die including a third pad adjacent to the first pad on the first die since the first die and the third die are adjacent to each other.

The combined teachings of Kurashima and Cloud fail to teach that "third pads are spaced apart from each other by a distance of less than approximately 100 microns." However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention made to have third pads spaced apart from each other by a distance of less than approximately 100 microns for a compact packaging, since it would have been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only in routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claim 20, Fig. 3 of Cloud shows that the first conductive mating structures are formed on corresponding first pads.

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 61, the combination of Kurashima/Cloud/Tonti would show the surface is a first surface and the first die includes a second surface opposite the first surface, and wherein the conductive link includes a via extending from the first surface to the second surface.

Regarding claim 62, Fig. 15 of Tonti shows the bond site is a first bond site, and wherein the conductive link forms a second bond site proximate to the first conductive mating structure.

Regarding claim 63, Fig. 18 of Tonti shows the second bond site corresponds to the opening of the first conductive mating structure.

Regarding claim 64, Fig. 18 of Tonti shows the second bond site is generally aligned with the opening of the first conductive mating structure.

Regarding claim 65, Fig. 18 of Tonti shows the first conductive mating structure has a generally circular configuration, a generally triangular configuration, or a generally rectangular configuration.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Junghwa M. Im whose telephone number is (571) 272-1655. The examiner can normally be reached on MON.-FRI. 8:30AM-5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2811

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne A. Gurley can be reached on (571) 272-1670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Junghwa M. Im

Examiner

Art Unit 2811

jmi

11/11/2007